#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**





### A Cross-Sectional Survey of 505 Postpartum Women to Assess Lifestyle-Related Behaviour, Barriers, and Myths Affecting Postpartum Weight Retention and Its Management

Archana Kumari<sup>1</sup> · Piyush Ranjan<sup>2</sup> · Wareesha Anwar<sup>3</sup> · Divjyot Kaur<sup>3</sup> · Ashish Datt Upadhyay<sup>4</sup> · Anita Malhotra<sup>5</sup> · Naval Kishore Vikram<sup>2</sup>

Received: 29 April 2022 / Accepted: 3 March 2023 / Published online: 8 June 2023 © Federation of Obstetric & Gynecological Societies of India 2023

#### **Abstract**

**Background/Purpose** This study aims to assess lifestyle-related factors such as diet, physical activity and sleep along with common myths, beliefs, and barriers to a healthy lifestyle and resultant postpartum weight retention. It has also explored the association of the aforementioned factors with socio-demographic variables.

**Methods** A cross-sectional survey was conducted using a comprehensive pre-validated questionnaire on a convenience sample of postpartum women using a telephonic interview schedule.

Results A total of 505 postpartum women were recruited with median postpartum weight retention of 5 kg. More than half of the participants had incorrect dietary practices with less than one-tenth of women indulging in low/moderate-intensity physical activity. Postpartum women had poorer diet (p < 0.05) and sleep (p < 0.01) in the initial phase postpartum as compared to late postpartum. These women were also less physically active in the initial months and as the postpartum period progressed their physical activity also improved significantly (p < 0.001). Socio-demographic variables such as socio-economic status, education, and employment status were significantly associated with unhealthy lifestyle practices. Common barriers were lack of knowledge, time constraints, lack of childcare support and cultural myths and beliefs.

**Conclusion** The findings of the study will help in developing a comprehensive women-centric weight management module focusing on practical guidelines for lifestyle, breastfeeding and other confounding factors associated with postpartum weight management.

 $\textbf{Keywords} \ \ Postpartum \ period \cdot Overweight \cdot Obesity \cdot Lifestyle \cdot Weight \ management$ 

Dr. Archana Kumari (MS) is an Assistant Professor; Dr. Piyush Ranjan (MD) is a Professor; Wareesha Anwar (M.Sc) is a Ph.D. scholar; Divjyot Kaur (M.Sc) is a Ph.D. Scholar; Dr. Ashish Datt Upadhyay (Ph.D.) is a Scientist,; Dr. Anita Malhotra (Ph.D.) is a Professor; Dr. Naval Kishore Vikram (MD) is a Professor.

- Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, AIIMS, New Delhi, India
- Department of Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Ansari Nagar, , New Delhi 110029, India
- Scholar, Department of Home Science, University of Delhi, New Delhi, India
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Biostatistics, AIIMS, New Delhi, India
- Department of Home Science, Laxmi Bai College, University of Delhi, New Delhi, India

#### Introduction

The proportionately high prevalence of obesity among women of the reproductive age group can be attributed to the physiological changes associated with body composition during pregnancy [1]. Postpartum weight retention (PPWR) can be defined as the difference between body weight at pre-pregnancy and after delivery [2]. This weight retention mostly occurs in the form of visceral fat, thus increasing the risk of metabolic complications like diabetes, hypertension, and coronary artery diseases. PPWR is influenced by various non-modifiable and modifiable risk factors [3, 4].

Among these various risk factors, lifestyle-related behaviours play an important role and vary across different cultures and countries [5–7]. In western countries, there is an increased awareness of the consumption of a healthy diet and the initiation of timely physical activity. But, in various



398 A. Kumari et al.

Asian countries including India, unhealthy dietary habits and restrictive physical activity are promoted for healing and recovery following delivery [8]. Such practices do more harm than good and put the postpartum women for an upward weight trajectory in the coming years of their life [9].

There is a paucity of data on the role of lifestyle-related factors and their role in postpartum weight retention in India. This study aims to cohesively assess lifestyle-related factors such as diet, physical activity, and sleep along with common myths, beliefs, and barriers to a healthy lifestyle. It also aims to identify the relation of various socio-demographic variables with the above-mentioned factors.

#### **Methods**

Study Design A cross-sectional survey was done using a validated questionnaire to assess the lifestyle-related behaviours, barriers, and myths in the postpartum period.

*Locale* The study was conducted in the Department of Medicine and Obstetrics and Gynaecology at a tertiary care centre in India.

*Ethical Statement* The study was done as per the Declaration of Helsinki with prior approval from the Institute Ethics Committee with reference no. IEC/236/3/2020.

Survey Questionnaire A pre-designed, validated, and reliable questionnaire was used with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.79 [10]. The survey questionnaire comprehensively assessed the lifestyle-related behaviours, barriers, and myths in the postpartum period. It has three sections. Section one assessed the socio-demographic profile, while section two assessed the obstetric information of the participants. Section three comprised thirty-six items focused on risk factors, facilitators and barriers to a healthy lifestyle in the postpartum period. Among these, questions 1 and 2 assessed the perceptions related to body weight, questions 3–13 assessed the eating behaviour and associated barriers to healthy eating and questions 14-25 assessed the physical activity and associated barriers to it, while questions 26–29 focused on sleep pattern and lastly questions 30-36 assessed the common beliefs/ myths associated with the postpartum period. A five-point Likert scale was used to rate the lifestyle practices such as diet, physical and physical activity assuming equal distance between scoring options, while barriers were rated on a 1 (strongly agree) to 5 (strongly disagree) scale based on the difficulty posed by each one of them.

Study Participants The study participants were recruited from the delivery records of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. Records from 1 January 2021–31 January 2022 were taken into account to formulate a list of participants eligible for data collection.

Data Collection The data were collected by trained investigators (WA and DK) involved in the development and validation of the questionnaire. Postpartum women with known cases of endocrine disorders including Cushing's syndrome or history of long-term steroid intake or multiple pregnancies were excluded from the study. The data were collected in the form of an online telephonic interview from the retrieved list of participants (n = 956) from the hospital delivery records. Out of these participants 348 contact numbers were either invalid, unavailable, not reachable, or unanswered. Sixty-four refused to give consent or declined to answer about their personal details such as income and education. Eighteen participants lost their offspring at some time in their postpartum. The remaining (n = 526) participants gave informed written concept which was filled in the google forms along with responses to the interview.

Sample Size The sample size was calculated using a single population proportion formula, by considering the following assumption, P = 75% proportion of postpartum weight retention, d = margin of error taken as 5%,  $Z \alpha/2 = 2.576$  at 99% confidence level, and the calculated sample size was 500 [4].

*Bias* The study may have a possibility of recall, response, and social-desirability bias.

Data and Statistical Analysis The sample characteristics, categorical variables and survey responses are presented as frequency and percentages, while the continuous data have been reported as mean and standard deviation and/or median/interquartile range. The comparison of lifestyle-related scores between the groups was done by Wilcoxon rank-sum test (two-groups) and Kruskal–Wallis test followed by multiple comparisons using Dunn's test with the Bonferroni test. The statistical analyses were performed by using STATA/SE version 14.2 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA). P value  $\leq 0.05$  was considered statistically significant for the analyses.

### **Results**

### **Participants**

A total of 526 interviews were conducted. After data purification, incomplete or ineligible entries were removed and the data of 505 participants were subjected to final data analysis.

# Socio-Demographic and Obstetric Profiles of the Participants

The majority of the participant's ages ranged from the late twenties to early thirties. Three-fourths of the participants were homemakers, belonged to metropolitan areas and were from a middle socio-economic background. There was an



almost equal distribution of vaginal (50.9%), and caesarean deliveries (47.7%) with (58.1%) being primiparous and (41.9%) being multiparous. The detailed socio-demographic and obstetric profile is given in Table 1.

### **Postpartum Weight Gain and Retention**

The proportion of underweight and normal-weight women as per Asian BMI cut-offs (as shown in Table 1) was reduced by almost half in the postpartum period. Such women were shifted to overweight and obese categories, leading to rise in the proportion of obese women in postpartum (52.4%) as compared to pre-conception (29.5%). The median postpartum weight retention was 5 kg, the 25th percentile was 2 kg, and the 75th percentile was 8 kg.

### **Perception Regarding Weight Status**

As depicted in Table 2, there was discordance in the perception of self-reported present weight status and the actual weight status of the postpartum women (p < 0.001). This indicates that postpartum women especially from the overweight and obese categories underestimated their weight status.

### Lifestyle-Related Behaviours (Diet, Physical Activity, Sleep)

The current lifestyle-related behaviour of the participants is depicted in Table 3. More than half of the participants did not have optimum dietary habits. Even though nine out of ten were participating in daily household chores, only one out of ten were participating in low-intensity in moderate-intensity physical activities. More than three-fourths of the participants were sleeping < 7 h, out of which (18.7%) reported poor quality of sleep and occasional childcare support at night (35.3%).

### **Barriers to a Healthy Lifestyle**

Three-fourths of the participants reported that they have insufficient knowledge about appropriate diet and physical activity to be followed postpartum due to which they could not engage in conscious efforts such as following a diet plan or physical activity prescription (as shown in Table 3). Participants reported lack of time (68.4%) and recommendation of high-calorie foods (41.8%) by family as the major reasons for faulty dietary practices. Apart from this, (63%) reported that they cannot engage in physical activity due to excessive body ache/backache.

**Table 1** Socio-demographic profile of participants (n = 505)

25–35 years       3650         > 35 years       38(7)         Education       1480         Profession or honours       1480         Graduate       2220         Intermediate/diploma       63(1)         Up to matriculate       72(14         Occupation       3610         Housewife       3610         During COVID, working from home       12(2)         Going to work as usual       25(4)         Currently taken a break from work       1070         Residence       Metropolitan       3800         City       84(10)         Town       31(6)         Village       10(1)         Type of family       Nuclear         Joint       303(6)         Socio-economic status (SES)       61(1)         Middle SES       385(1)         Low SES       59(1)         Parity       Primiparous         Multiparous       293(2)         Mode of delivery       293(2)	29.4) 43.9) 2.5)
25–35 years       3650         > 35 years       38(7)         Education       1480         Profession or honours       1480         Graduate       2220         Intermediate/diploma       63(1)         Up to matriculate       72(1-         Occupation       3610         Housewife       3610         During COVID, working from home       12(2)         Going to work as usual       25(4)         Currently taken a break from work       1070         Residence       Metropolitan       3800         City       84(10)         Town       31(6)         Village       10(1)         Type of family       Nuclear         Joint       303(6)         Socio-economic status (SES)       61(1)         Middle SES       385(1)         Low SES       59(1)         Parity       Primiparous         Multiparous       293(2)         Mode of delivery       293(2)	72.2) .52) 29.4) 43.9) 2.5) 4.3)
> 35 years       38(7)         Education       148(3)         Graduate       222(4)         Intermediate/diploma       63(1)         Up to matriculate       72(1-4)         Occupation       361(7)         Housewife       361(7)         During COVID, working from home       12(2)         Going to work as usual       25(4)         Currently taken a break from work       107(2)         Residence       Metropolitan         Ocity       84(10)         Town       31(6)         Village       10(1)         Type of family       10(1)         Nuclear       202(4)         Joint       303(6)         Socio-economic status (SES)       61(1)         Middle SES       385(1)         Low SES       59(1)         Parity       Primiparous         Multiparous       293(2)         Mode of delivery       293(2)	.52) 29.4) 43.9) 2.5) 4.3)
Education       1486         Graduate       2226         Intermediate/diploma       63(1)         Up to matriculate       72(1)         Occupation       361(1)         Housewife       361(1)         During COVID, working from home       12(2)         Going to work as usual       25(4)         Currently taken a break from work       107(2)         Residence       Metropolitan       380(2)         Metropolitan       31(6)         Village       10(1)         Type of family       10(1)         Nuclear       202(4)         Joint       303(6)         Socio-economic status (SES)       61(1)         Middle SES       385(1)         Low SES       59(1)         Parity       Primiparous       293(2)         Multiparous       212(4)         Mode of delivery       212(4)	29.4) 43.9) 2.5) 4.3)
Profession or honours       1486         Graduate       2226         Intermediate/diploma       63(1)         Up to matriculate       72(14         Occupation       361(1)         Housewife       361(1)         During COVID, working from home       12(2)         Going to work as usual       25(4)         Currently taken a break from work       107(2)         Residence       84(16)         Metropolitan       380(2)         City       84(16)         Town       31(6)         Village       10(1)         Type of family       10(1)         Nuclear       202(4)         Joint       303(6)         Socio-economic status (SES)       61(1)         Middle SES       385(1)         Low SES       59(1)         Parity       Primiparous       293(2)         Multiparous       212(4)         Mode of delivery       212(4)	43.9) 2.5) 4.3)
Graduate       222(e         Intermediate/diploma       63(1)         Up to matriculate       72(1-6         Occupation       361(*)         Housewife       361(*)         During COVID, working from home       12(2)         Going to work as usual       25(4)         Currently taken a break from work       107(2)         Residence       Metropolitan         City       84(10)         Town       31(6)         Village       10(1)         Type of family       10(1)         Nuclear       202(e         Joint       303(e         Socio-economic status (SES)       61(1)         High SES       61(1)         Middle SES       385(*)         Low SES       59(1)         Parity       Primiparous       293(2)         Multiparous       212(e         Mode of delivery       212(e	43.9) 2.5) 4.3)
Intermediate/diploma         63(12)           Up to matriculate         72(14)           Occupation         361(1)           Housewife         361(1)           During COVID, working from home         12(2)           Going to work as usual         25(4)           Currently taken a break from work         107(2)           Residence         84(10)           Metropolitan         380(1)           City         84(10)           Town         31(6)           Village         10(1)           Type of family         303(0)           Nuclear         202(4)           Joint         303(0)           Socio-economic status (SES)         61(12)           Middle SES         385(1)           Low SES         59(1)           Parity         Primiparous           Multiparous         293(2)           Mode of delivery         212(4)	2.5) 4.3)
Up to matriculate 72(14  Occupation  Housewife 361(1)  During COVID, working from home 12(2)  Going to work as usual 25(4)  Currently taken a break from work 107(2)  Residence  Metropolitan 380(1)  City 84(10)  Town 31(6)  Village 10(1)  Type of family  Nuclear 202(4)  Joint 303(6)  Socio-economic status (SES)  High SES 61(12)  Middle SES 385(1)  Parity  Primiparous 293(3)  Multiparous 293(4)  Mode of delivery	4.3)
Occupation       3610         Housewife       3610         During COVID, working from home       12(2)         Going to work as usual       25(4)         Currently taken a break from work       1070         Residence       84(1)         Metropolitan       3800         City       84(1)         Town       31(6)         Village       10(1)         Type of family       10(1)         Nuclear       202(4)         Joint       303(6)         Socio-economic status (SES)       61(1)         Middle SES       385(1)         Low SES       59(1)         Parity       Primiparous       293(2)         Multiparous       212(4)         Mode of delivery       212(4)	
Housewife 361( During COVID, working from home 12(2) Going to work as usual 25(4) Currently taken a break from work 107(2) Residence Metropolitan 380(2) City 84(10) Town 31(6) Village 10(1) Type of family Nuclear 202(6) Joint 303(6) Socio-economic status (SES) High SES 61(12) Middle SES 385(2) Low SES 59(1) Parity Primiparous 293(3) Multiparous 293(4) Mode of delivery	71.5)
During COVID, working from home         12(2)           Going to work as usual         25(4)           Currently taken a break from work         107(2)           Residence         380(2)           Metropolitan         380(2)           City         84(10)           Town         31(6)           Village         10(1)           Type of family         10(1)           Nuclear         202(4)           Joint         303(6)           Socio-economic status (SES)           High SES         61(12)           Middle SES         385(2)           Low SES         59(1)           Parity         Primiparous           Multiparous         293(3)           Mode of delivery         212(4)	71.5)
Going to work as usual       25(4)         Currently taken a break from work       107(2)         Residence       380(2)         Metropolitan       380(2)         City       84(10)         Town       31(6)         Village       10(1)         Type of family       303(6)         Nuclear       202(4)         Joint       303(6)         Socio-economic status (SES)       61(12)         Middle SES       385(1)         Low SES       59(1)         Parity       Primiparous         Multiparous       293(2)         Mode of delivery       212(4)	
Currently taken a break from work       107(2)         Residence       380(2)         Metropolitan       380(2)         City       84(10)         Town       31(6)         Village       10(1)         Type of family       10(1)         Nuclear       202(4)         Joint       303(6)         Socio-economic status (SES)       61(12)         Middle SES       385(1)         Low SES       59(1)         Parity       Primiparous         Multiparous       293(2)         Mode of delivery       212(4)	.4)
Residence       3800         City       84(16)         Town       31(6)         Village       10(1)         Type of family       2026         Joint       3030         Socio-economic status (SES)       61(12)         Middle SES       3850         Low SES       59(1)         Parity       Primiparous         Multiparous       2930         Mode of delivery       2126	.9)
Residence         Metropolitan       3800         City       84(16         Town       31(6)         Village       10(1)         Type of family       10(1)         Nuclear       202(6)         Joint       303(6)         Socio-economic status (SES)       61(12)         Middle SES       385(1)         Low SES       59(1)         Parity       Primiparous         Multiparous       293(3)         Mode of delivery       212(6)	21.2)
City       84(16)         Town       31(6)         Village       10(1)         Type of family       10(1)         Nuclear       202(4)         Joint       303(6)         Socio-economic status (SES)         High SES       61(1)         Middle SES       385(1)         Low SES       59(1)         Parity         Primiparous       293(2)         Multiparous       212(4)         Mode of delivery       10(1)	
City       84(16)         Town       31(6)         Village       10(1)         Type of family       10(1)         Nuclear       202(4)         Joint       303(6)         Socio-economic status (SES)         High SES       61(1)         Middle SES       385(1)         Low SES       59(1)         Parity         Primiparous       293(2)         Multiparous       212(4)         Mode of delivery       10(1)	75.2)
Town       31(6)         Village       10(1)         Type of family       202(4)         Joint       303(6)         Socio-economic status (SES)       4         High SES       61(12)         Middle SES       385(12)         Low SES       59(1)         Parity       293(2)         Multiparous       212(4)         Mode of delivery       20(1)	6.6)
Village       10(1.5)         Type of family       2026         Nuclear       2026         Joint       3036         Socio-economic status (SES)       61(1.5)         Middle SES       3850         Low SES       59(1.7)         Parity       Primiparous         Multiparous       2930         Mode of delivery       200	
Type of family       2026         Nuclear       2026         Joint       3036         Socio-economic status (SES)         High SES       61(12         Middle SES       3850         Low SES       59(1         Parity       Primiparous         Multiparous       2930         Mode of delivery       2126	
Nuclear       2026         Joint       3036         Socio-economic status (SES)         High SES       61(12         Middle SES       385(12)         Low SES       59(13)         Parity       Primiparous         Multiparous       293(2)         Mode of delivery       212(4)	•
Joint       303(6)         Socio-economic status (SES)         High SES       61(12)         Middle SES       385(7)         Low SES       59(1)         Parity       Primiparous         Multiparous       293(2)         Mode of delivery	40)
Socio-economic status (SES) High SES 61(12 Middle SES 385(2) Low SES 59(1) Parity Primiparous 293(2) Multiparous 212(4) Mode of delivery	
High SES       61(12)         Middle SES       385(1)         Low SES       59(1)         Parity       Primiparous         Multiparous       293(2)         Mode of delivery       212(4)	/
Middle SES 3850 Low SES 59(1 Parity Primiparous 2930 Multiparous 2120 Mode of delivery	2.1)
Low SES 59(1  Parity  Primiparous 293(2  Multiparous 212(4  Mode of delivery	
Parity Primiparous 293(: Multiparous 212(: Mode of delivery	
Primiparous 2930: Multiparous 2120 Mode of delivery	,,,
Multiparous 212(- Mode of delivery	58.1)
Mode of delivery	
•	,
	50.9)
Instrumental 8(1.4	
	47.7)
Postpartum period	,
0–6 Months 437(	86.5)
≥6 Months 68(1)	
Pre-Pregnancy BMI	0.0)
Underweight 53(10	0.5)
	40.8)
Overweight 97(1)	
	29.5)
Present BMI	27.3)
Underweight 22(4.	3)
	25.3)
Overweight 90(1'	
Obese 265(:	
Postpartum weight retention (PPWR)	J2. <del>4</del> )
	6.03)
	0.03)
0–5 kg 211(· 5–10 kg 148(:	41 7\



Table 1 (continued)

Characteristics	Values (%)
≥10 kg	65(12.8)
Presently breastfeeding	
Yes	469(92.9)
No	36(7.1)
Exclusive breastfeeding	
Yes	332(65.8)
No	173(34.2)
Perception related to weight status	
My weight is less	59(11.6)
My weight is about right	174(34.4)
My weight is slightly more	135(26.7)
My weight is significantly more	137(27.1)
Readiness to initiate weight loss	
Already Initiated	51(10.1)
Planning to initiate in 1–2 weeks	19(3.8)
Planning to initiate in 1–2 months	133(26.3)
Planning to initiate in 3–4 months	62(12.2)
No intention to initiate in near future	240(47.5)

## Common Beliefs/Myths Associated with the Postpartum Period

As shown in Table 3, almost half of the participants believed that overeating will help in providing adequate nutrition to the child and reducing calories in the diet will lead to low milk production. Three-quarters of the participants were convinced that excessive galactagogues consumption during the first 40 days post-pregnancy leads to higher milk formation and completing 40-day rest after delivery is essential.

# Comparison of Lifestyle-Related Behaviour Across Various Socio-demographic Variates

In the comparison of lifestyle-related behaviour with various socio-demographic variates (Tables 4, 5), it was found that participants residing in rural areas were more physically active as compared to the ones residing in urban areas

(p < 0.05), and they had a larger number of myths and pre-conceived beliefs about healthy lifestyle behaviour (p < 0.05), whereas participants having higher education (p < 0.001) and belonging to higher socio-economic status (p < 0.001) had a better diet as compared to the ones with lower education and socio-economic status.

### Variability in Lifestyle Behaviour Across Postpartum Period

Postpartum women (as depicted in Table 6) had a poorer diet (p < 0.05) and sleep (p < 0.01) in the initial phase (0–3 months) of postpartum as compared to late postpartum (> 6 months). These women were also less physically active in the initial months and as the postpartum period progressed their physical activity also improved significantly (p < 0.001).

### **Discussion**

Pregnancy and postpartum period increase the rates of overweight and obesity in women. These rising trends can be attributed to demographic factors, lifestyle, myths, and various barriers faced by them. Most of these factors are modifiable but are not appropriately addressed [11]. This study was undertaken to comprehensively assess the above-mentioned factors and various insightful findings emerged through it. Firstly, postpartum women had a misperception about their weight status. The women in overweight and obese category were more likely to perceive themself as normal or just overweight and felt that there was no need to initiate weight loss. As weight perceptions are an important indicator of weight loss-related behaviour (initiation and sustenance of weight loss), thus such perceptions can hinder these women to achieve ideal body weight and may lead to accumulation of weight for later years of life [3]. It is important to note that this retained weight will also affect the metabolic programming and body composition of these women and will further increase the disease risk [1].

 Table 2
 Difference between

 actual and perceived weight

 status

Actual BMI category	Perception about weight									
	My weight is slightly less	My weight is about right	My weight is slightly more	My weight is significantly more						
Underweight	12(54.6)	08(36.4)	01(4.5)	01(4.5)						
Normal	36(28.1)	71(55.4)	18(14.1)	03(2.4)						
Overweight	07(7.8)	43(47.7)	30(33.3)	10(11.2)						
Obese	04(1.5)	52(19.6)	36(32.4)	123(47.0)						
Marginal homogeneity (S	Stuart–Maxwell) $\chi 2 =$	90.15, ( <i>P</i> < 0.001)								



 Table 3
 Frequency distribution of responses for lifestyle practices of postpartum women

Lifestyle-related behaviour	Responses on Lik				
	1 (Lowest score)	2	3	4	5 (Highest score)
Dietary habits					
<sup>a</sup> Consumption of regular meals (Three major and two-three minor meals)	51(10.1)	39(7.7)	166(26.3)	129(25.5)	120(23.8)
<sup>a</sup> Consumption of protein-rich foods in the daily diet	35(6.9)	46(9.2)	173(34.3)	130(25.5)	121(23.9)
<sup>a</sup> Consumption of 4–5 servings of fruits and vegetables in daily diet	84(16.6)	78(15.4)	180(35.6)	89(17.6)	74(14.6)
<sup>a</sup> Consumption of HFSS (high fat, sugar, and salt) food products	95(18.8)	156(30.9)	118(23.3)	75(14.8)	61(12.08)
Physical activity					
<sup>b</sup> Participation in daily household chores	57(11.3)	5(0.9)	26(51.1)	37(7.4)	300(75.3)
<sup>c</sup> Participation in low-intensity exercises	360(71.3)	50(9.9)	74(14.7)	11(2.2)	10(1.9)
<sup>c</sup> Participation in moderate-intensity exercises	446(88.4)	27(5.4)	22(4.4)	5(0.9)	5(0.9)
<sup>d</sup> Time spent in sedentary activities	138(27.4)	115(22.8)	222(24.2)	98(19.5)	32(6.4)
Sleep					
<sup>e</sup> Quantity of Sleep	142(28.8)	268 (53.1)	95 (18.9)	_	_
<sup>f</sup> Quality of Sleep	13(2.6)	81(16.1)	197(39.1)	193(38.3)	21(4.2)
<sup>g</sup> Childcare Support at night	73(14.5)	85(16.9)	178(35.3)	127(25.2)	42(8.4)
Healthy eating barriers					
<sup>h</sup> Increased intake due to breastfeeding	195(38.7)	187(37.1)	12(2.4)	99(19.6)	12(2.4)
<sup>h</sup> Insufficient knowledge about dietary habits to be followed in postpartum	107(21.2)	236(46.8)	17(5.4)	118(23.4)	17(3.4)
<sup>h</sup> Inability to make conscious dietary efforts for weight loss	90(17.9)	272(53.9)	51(9.0)	93(18.5)	23(4.5)
<sup>h</sup> Lack of time due to childcare and family responsibilities	138(27.4)	206(40.8)	9(1.8)	117(23.2)	35(6.9)
<sup>h</sup> Mismatched eating habits of the family	60(11.9)	184(36.4)	10(1.9)	155(30.6)	96(19.1)
<sup>h</sup> Bound to eat high-calorie food due to family advice	75(14.9)	136(26.9)	19(3.8)	192(38.1)	83(16.5)
<sup>h</sup> Overeating due to stress, anxiety or to just feel better	62(12.3)	65(12.9)	15(2.9)	26.5(52.5)	98(19.5)
Physical activity barriers					
<sup>h</sup> Insufficient knowledge about physical activities to be done in this period	167(33.1)	239(47.4)	9(1.8)	76(15.0)	14(2
<sup>h</sup> Inability to make conscious efforts for physical activity	114(22.6)	279(55.3)	26(5.2)	67(13.3)	19(3.8)
<sup>h</sup> Unable to engage due to body pain/ backache, etc.	91(18.1)	225(44.6)	17(3.4)	118(23.4)	54(10.7)
<sup>h</sup> Lack of time due to childcare and family responsibilities	146(28.9)	244(48.4)	10(1.9)	75(14.9)	30(5.1)
<sup>h</sup> Lack of social support for physical activity	39(7.8)	51(10.1)	8(1.6)	286(56.7)	121(23.9)
<sup>h</sup> Lack of parks or gyms	36(7.2)	26(5.6)	6(1.2)	289(57.3)	148(29.4)
Myths					
<sup>h</sup> Overeating helps in providing adequate nutrition to child	124(24.6)	124(24.6)	16(3.1)	215(42.5)	26(5.2)
<sup>h</sup> Excessive galactagogue consumption during the first 40 days post- pregnancy leads to higher milk formation	164(32.5)	195(38.6)	29(5.8)	104(20.6)	13(2.6)
<sup>h</sup> Reducing calories in diet may lead to reduced milk production	133(26.4)	228(45.2)	40(7.9)	86(17.1)	18(3.5)
<sup>h</sup> Consumption of excessive ghee in the last trimester of pregnancy	119(23.6)	99(19.6)	14(2.8)	231(45.9)	42(8.4)
<sup>h</sup> Physical activity may affect the breast milk composition	85(16.9)	31(6.2)	75(14.9)	231(45.8)	83(16.5)
<sup>h</sup> Breastfeeding will automatically help in weight loss	146(28.9)	152(30.2)	50(18)	137(27.2)	20(3.9)
h40-day rest after delivery is essential	213(42.2)	156(30.8)	18(3.6)	112(22.2)	6(1.3)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>1(not routinely), 2(one to two times per week), 3(three to four times a week), 4(five to six times a week), (5daily)



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>1(not routinely), 2(1–2 days a week), 3(3–4 days a week), 4(5–6 days a week), 5(almost daily)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>1(not at all), 2(up to 15 min), 3(15–30 min), 3(30–45 min), 4(45 min or more)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>1(less than 2 h), 2(2–4 h), 3(4–6 h), 4(6–8 h), 5(more than 8 h)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>1(<5 h), 2(5–7 h), 3(>7 h)

f 1(excellent), 2(good), 3(average), 4(poor), 5(very poor)

g 1(always), 2(mostly), 3(sometimes), 4(rarely), 5(never)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> 1(strongly agree), 2(agree), 3(neither agree nor disagree), 4(disagree), 5(strongly disagree)

402 A. Kumari et al.

 Table 4
 Comparison of lifestyle-related behaviour with socio-demographic in the postpartum period

	Residence				Overall and pairwise	Education				Overall and pairwise
	(1) Metropolitan* (2) City*		(3) Town*	(3) Town* (4) Village*	variance**	(1) Profession or honours*	(2) Graduate* (3) Intermediate comparate diploma*	(3) Intermediate or diploma*	(4) High school certificate*	variance**
Dietary habits	31 (16–51)	31 (15-47)	30 (24-48)	31 (15-47) 30 (24-48) 31 (26-37) N.S*	N.S.#	32 (15–51) 31 (16–48)	31 (16-48)	30 (16–44)	30 (21–40)	(P<0.001) and 1 versus 2 (P<0.05), 1 versus 3 (P<0.01), 1 versus 4 (P<0.001), 2 versus 4 (P<0.001), 2
Physical Activity 31 (14-53)	31 (14–53)	31.5 (19-45)	32 (22–44)	34.5 (26-47)	31.5 (19–45) 32 (22–44) 34.5 (26–47) ( $P$ <0.05 and 1 versus 4 ( $P$ <0.05) 2 versus 4 ( $P$ <0.05) 3 versus 4 ( $P$ <0.05)		31 (15–53)	31 (18–45)	31 (14-46)	N.S
Sleep	10 (4–15)	10 (4–14)	11 (6–16)	9 (8–12)	N.S	10 (6–16)	10 (4–15)	10 (5–14)	10 (6–14)	(P < 0.05) and 2 versus $3 (P < 0.05)$
Myths	19 (7–35)	19 (7–29)	10 (11–28)	10 (11–28) 22 (11–27)	(P < 0.05) and 1 versus 4 $(P < 0.01)2 versus 4 (P < 0.05)$	19 (7–30)	20 (7–35)	19 (7–25)	17.5 (7–28)	N.S
						-				

\*Median (minimum-maximum)

\*\*Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's pairwise comparison with Bonferroni test

"N.S Not significant



Table 5 Comparison of lifestyle-related behaviour with socio-demographic in the postpartum period

	Socio-econo	omic status		Overall and	occupation	Overall and			
	(1) High*	(2) Middle*	(3) Low*	Pairwise Variance**	(1) Housewife*	(2) During COVID, working from home*	(3) Going to work as usual*	(4) Currently taking a break from work*	pairwise vari- ance**
Dietary habits	35 (18–50)	31 (15–51)	29 (20–39)	(P < 0.001) and 1 versus 2 (P < 0.001), 1 versus 3 (P < 0.001), 2 versus (P < 0.001)	31 (16–48)	33 (23–48)	31.5 (15–48)	33 (19–51)	(P < 0.001) and 1 versus 4 (P < 0.001)
Physical activity	31 (14–53)	31 (15–53)	32 (20–46)	N.S <sup>#</sup>	31 (14–53)	32 (19–45)	31 (23–44)	31 (15–53)	N.S
Sleep	10 (4–16)	10 (5–16)	10 (4–14)	N.S	10 (4–16)	10.5 (6–13)	9 (6–13)	11 (6–15)	(P < 0.05) and 1 versus 4 (P < 0.01) 3 versus 4 (P < 0.05)
Myths	18 (7–30)	19 (7–35)	20 (7–28)	N.S	19 (7–35)	21 (7–28)	18 (7–26)	21 (7–30)	(P < 0.05) and 1 versus 4 (P < 0.05) 3 versus 4 (P < 0.05)

<sup>\*</sup>Median (minimum-maximum)

Table 6 Variability in lifestyle-related behaviour across postpartum period

Lifestyle-related behaviour	Postpartum Montl	hs	Overall and pairwise variance**	
	(1) 0–3 months*	(2) 3–6 months*	(3) > 6 months*	
Dietary habits	30 (16–50)	32 (16–51)	32(15–48)	(P < 0.05) and 1 versus 2 $(P < 0.05)1 versus 3 (P < 0.05)$
Physical Activity	29 (14–44)	32(15–53)	33.5(21–49)	(P < 0.001) and 1 versus 2 $(P < 0.001)1 versus 3 (P < 0.001)2 versus 3 (P < 0.05)$
Sleep	10 (4–15)	10 (5–16)	09 (4–14)	(P < 0.01) and 1 versus 2 $(P < 0.05)1 versus 3 (P < 0.01)2 versus 3 (P < 0.01)$

<sup>\*</sup>Median (minimum-maximum)

Secondly, more than half of the study sample had an inadequate diet, with irregular meal patterns and low dietary diversity. They had lower consumption of fruits and vegetables and protein-rich foods. The plausible reason for this could be the notion of avoiding fruits and vegetables due to perceiving them as cold foods [13, 14]. Also, India has relatively lower consumption of protein-rich foods owing to the predominance of a cereal-based diet and consumption

of vegetarian sources of protein [15, 16]. Moreover, factors like lack of adequate knowledge, lack of time and the postpartum norms prevalent in society also served as major barriers to a healthy diet in the postpartum period [17, 18]. Apart from this, postpartum women have been observed to be more physically inactive as they are unlikely to indulge in any sort of physical activity apart from household chores. They avoid doing any sort of exercise for at least six months



<sup>\*\*</sup>Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's pairwise comparison with Bonferroni test

<sup>\*</sup>N.S Not significant

<sup>\*\*</sup> Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's pairwise comparison with Bonferroni test

postpartum. This behaviour is ascribed to the practice of resting and healing, lack of knowledge, lack of time, and limited mobility due to complaints of excessive aches in the body, especially in the back region [19]. The decreased quality and quantity of sleep in postpartum can be related to the lack of support in childcare, body pain, etc. Thus, it can cause impatience, exhaustion, and poor quality of life that may lead to an increased risk for postpartum depression [11].

Thirdly, in India, there are various myths and beliefs associated with the postpartum period which can be a major reason for an unhealthy lifestyle leading to postpartum weight retention [14]. In our study, almost half of the women have consumed excessive ghee (clarified butter) in their last trimester. This practice is promoted with the belief of providing laxation or ease in delivery. Also, the practice of complete rest in the first forty days is also widely prevalent and acceptable similar to other Asian countries [13, 20, 21]. Apart from this, consumption of excessive fat and sugarladen galactagogues is also promoted with the belief of fostering breastfeeding. Women believe that reducing the calories in their diet will affect their breastmilk output [14, 18].

Fourthly, we also observed that demographics also influence lifestyle-related behaviours in the postpartum period. Women with higher education and socio-economic status had a relatively healthy diet this can be due to their access to authentic sources of knowledge for guidance such as qualified nutritionists and wellness coaches. Also, working women were more likely to indulge in light- or moderateintensity exercise to lose their excessive weight [22]. It can be attributed to their desire to look fit or get back in shape before the commencement of their regular work. Apart from this, women residing in rural areas are more likely to believe in myths related to the postpartum period, and this is worrisome as almost 70% of the Indian population resides in rural areas [23]. Lastly, we also observed that an unhealthy lifestyle including poor diet and low physical activity is more prevalent in the initial months of postpartum. The major reasons for this can be the myths and beliefs embedded with the postpartum lifestyle. This includes reducing diet, and increasing physical activity will reduce breast milk output as the first six months are meant for exclusive breast breastfeeding. Also, more focus is given to childcare in the initial months, as women are navigating their way to the new phase of motherhood [24, 25].

This study has various implications as it highlights the service delivery gap in the postpartum period and emphasises the need for change from individual to policy level. There is a need to bridge the gap of lifestyle-related counselling to increase the awareness and knowledge of postpartum women about healthy lifestyles and burst associated myths and hoaxes. This can be done by developing specific guidelines for the group and training

gynaecologists, physicians, and nutritionists, and advocating for women-centric weight management clinics even in the peripheries of the country.

The study has various strengths like larger sample size, novelty, and the number of study parameters aiding in a comprehensive assessment of lifestyle-related behaviours, barriers, and myths of postpartum women. However, the following limitations should be considered before drawing conclusions. (i) The sample was based on convenience sampling, even though efforts were made to ensure representativeness, and thus, the findings cannot be generalised. (ii) The study may have recall and social-desirability bias even though participants were motivated to give honest responses. (iii) Inability to capture data from all the participants due to incorrect contact details, unavailability, refusal to share information. (iv) Prospective cohorts can be done to better understand the causal relationships.

### **Conclusion**

The findings of this study provide a better understanding of the lifestyle practices, barriers, and myths/beliefs of postpartum women in India. This will help in developing a comprehensive women-centric weight management module focusing on practical guidelines for lifestyle, breastfeeding, and other confounding factors associated with postpartum weight management. These guidelines can be disseminated to public health professionals, gynaecologists, physicians, and nutritionists who can publicise and advocate these in their daily practice.

Contributorship Statement Conceptualisation and methodology were done by AK and PR. Resources and project administration were done by WA and DK. Formal statistical analysis was done by AU. Writing of first draft was done by WA and DK. Supervision and review were done by AK, PR, AM, and NK.

Funding The study was supported by the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India, under Grant [SEED/WS/2019/526].

### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Ethical approval The study was done as per the Declaration of Helsinki with prior approval from the Institute Ethics Committee with reference no.IEC/236/3/2020. We, the authors, approve that the requirement for the authorship as stated has been met and we believe that the manuscript represents honest work.

Informed Written Consent Yes

Study of Human Participants Yes.



### References

- Farpour-Lambert NJ, Ells LJ, Martinez de Tejada B, Scott C. Obesity and weight gain in pregnancy and postpartum: an evidence review of lifestyle interventions to inform maternal and child health policies. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2018;26(9):546. https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2018.00546.
- Nasreddine L, Ayoub J, Abbas N, Abdul Malik M, Naja F. Postpartum weight retention and its determinants in lebanon and qatar: results of the mother and infant nutrition assessment (MINA) Cohort. IJERPH. 2020;17(21):7851.
- Widen EM, Gallagher D. Body composition changes in pregnancy: measurement, predictors and outcomes. Eur J Clinical Nutr. 2014;68(6):643–52. https://doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2014.40.
- Bogaerts A, Ameye L, Bijlholt M, Amuli K, Heynickx D, Devlieger R. INTER-ACT: prevention of pregnancy complications through an e-health driven interpregnancy lifestyle intervention study protocol of a multicentre randomised controlled trial. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2017;17(1):154. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-017-1336-2.PMID:28549455;PMCID:PMC5446743.
- Al-Bkerat M. Nutritional beliefs and practices of Arabic speaking middle eastern mothers. https://doi.org/10.23860/ diss-al-bkerat-maissa-2019
- Myrissa K, Stevens R, Kelaiditi E. Dietary and lifestyle patterns in UK postpartum women. Proc Nutr Soc. 2020. https://doi.org/ 10.1017/s0029665120003900.
- Shloim N, Rudolf M, Feltbower RG, Blundell-Birtill P, Hetherington MM. Israeli and British women's wellbeing and eating behaviours in pregnancy and postpartum. J Reprod Infant Psychol. 2019;37(2):123–38. https://doi.org/10.1080/02646838.2018. 1529408. (Epub 2018 Oct 16 PMID: 30325661).
- Withers M, Kharazmi N, Lim E. Traditional beliefs and practices in pregnancy, childbirth and postpartum: a review of the evidence from Asian countries. Midwifery. 2018;56:158–70.
- Soria-Contreras DC, Rifas-Shiman SL, Aris IM, Perng W, Switkowski KM, Téllez-Rojo MM, et al. Weight trajectories after delivery are associated with adiposity and cardiometabolic markers at 3 Years postpartum among women in project viva. J Nutr. 2020;150(7):1889–98.
- Kumari A, Ranjan P, Kaur D, Anwar W, Malhotra A, Upadhyay AD, et al. Development and validation of a questionnaire to assess the risk factors, facilitators, and barriers to postpartum weight management. J Obstet Gynecol India. 2022;72(2):160–7. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s13224-022-01631-0Feedback:support@crossref. org
- Kumari A, Ranjan P, Vikram NK, Kaur D, Balsalkar G, Malhotra A, et al. Executive summary of evidence and consensus-based clinical practice guideline for management of obesity and overweight in postpartum women: an AIIMS-DST initiative. Diabetes Metab Syndr. 2022;16(3): 102425.
- Valerie J S, Christina F H, Nisha K, Stephenie C L, Milagros C R. weight perceptions and weight-related behaviors among low income postpartum women. J Obes Weight-Loss Medic [Internet].
   2018 [cited 2022 Apr 6];4(1). https://www.clinmedjournals.org/articles/jowm/journal-of-obesity-and-weight-loss-medication-jowm-4-022.php?jid=jowm
- Li N, Su X, Liu T, Sun J, Zhu Y, Dai Z, Zhang Y, Pan L, Jiang W, Zhu W. Dietary patterns of Chinese puerperal women and their association with postpartum weight retention: Results from the mother-infant cohort study. Matern Child Nutr. 2021;17(1):e13061. https://doi.org/10.1111/mcn.13061.

- Vernekar PP. A study on traditional beliefs and practices during the postpartum period among mothers at a district hospital in Goa. Int J Prev Curative Commun Med. 2021;07(03):1–11. https://doi. org/10.24321/2454.325x.202111.
- Tak M, Shankar B, Kadiyala S. Dietary transition in India: temporal and regional trends, 1993 to 2012. Food Nutr Bull. 2019;40(2):254–70.
- Sharma M, Kishore A, Roy D, Joshi K. A comparison of the Indian diet with the EAT-Lancet reference diet. BMC Public Health. 2020;20(1):812.
- Makama M, Awoke MA, Skouteris H, Moran LJ, Lim S. Barriers and facilitators to a healthy lifestyle in postpartum women: a systematic review of qualitative and quantitative studies in postpartum women and healthcare providers. Obes Rev 2021 Apr [cited 2022 Apr 11];22(4). https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/https:// doi.org/10.1111/obr.13167
- Kaur D, Ranjan P, Kumari A, Malhotra A, Kaloiya GS, Meena VP, et al. Awareness, beliefs and perspectives regarding weight retention and weight gain among postpartum women in India: a thematic analysis of focus group discussions and in-depth interviews. J Obstet Gynaecol India. 2022;17:1–7.
- Saligheh M, McNamara B, Rooney R. Perceived barriers and enablers of physical activity in postpartum women: a qualitative approach. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2016;16(1):131.
- Sharma S, van Teijlingen E, Hundley V, Angell C, Simkhada P. Dirty and 40 days in the wilderness: eliciting childbirth and postnatal cultural practices and beliefs in Nepal. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2016;16(1):147.
- Fadzil F, Shamsuddin K, Wan Puteh SE. Traditional postpartum practices among malaysian mothers: a review. J Altern Complement Med. 2016;22(7):503–8. https://doi.org/10.1089/acm.2013.0469. (Epub 2015 Jul 13 PMID: 26167656).
- Salarzadeh Jenatabadi H, Bt Wan Mohamed Radzi CWJ, Samsudin N. Associations of body mass index with demographics, lifestyle, food intake, and mental health among postpartum women: a structural equation approach. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(14):5201. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17145201.
- Sachdev R, Garg K, Shwetam S, Srivastava AR, Srivastava A. Awareness of Indian government initiated social security schemes utilization among villagers of Kanpur rural region: An evaluative cross sectional study. J Family Med Prim Care. 2022;11(6):2456– 60. https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc\_1727\_21.
- Martin JC, Joham AE, Mishra GD, Hodge AM, Moran LJ, Harrison CL. Postpartum diet quality: a cross-sectional analysis from the Australian longitudinal study on women's health. JCM. 2020;9(2):446.
- Poulain T, Spielau U, Vogel M, Dathan-Stumpf A, Körner A, Kiess W. Changes in diet from pregnancy to one year after birth: a longitudinal study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2021;21(1):600.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

