



J Obstet Gynecol India Vol. 60, No. 4: July / August 2010 pg 341 - 342

## Case Report Torsion of full term pregnant uterus with huge ovarian cyst – a case report

Khaskheli Meharunnissa<sup>1</sup>, Baloch Shahla<sup>2</sup>, Malik Arshad M<sup>3</sup>

Liaquat University of Medical & Health Sciences (LUMHS), Jamshoro 1,2Asst. Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 3Asst. Professor, Department of Surgery

Key words: Torsion uterus, ovarian cyst

## Case report

A 20-year-old primigravida married since 1 year, was admitted with 36 weeks pregnancy along with a mass and acute pain in the abdomen. In early pregnancy she visited local doctors for the confirmation of pregnancy. Thereafter she had no antenatal checkup. At 35 weeks of pregnancy she noticed an excessive enlargement of the abdomen but she did not visit any doctor. On the day of admission she had a sudden severe pain in the abdomen which was associated with vomiting and fainting. She went to a local doctor who after initial resuscitation referred her to this hospital. Her complaints were continuous pain all over the abdomen, aggravated by movements and associated with vomiting. She was afebrile, pale, and normotensive with tachycardia. Symphysio fundal height was 42cm. Abdomen was tender, fetal heart sounds were present and regular, ultrasound examination revealed 37 weeks fetus with active heart beat, 2.5 kilogram in weight. There was a huge cystic mass with internal echoes and thin septations, measuring 19x15cm in size lying above the uterus in the epigastric region

Paper received on :09/03/2007 accepted on: 18/06/2008

Correspondence:
Dr. Khaskheli Meharunnissa
Assistant Professor,
Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology
Liaquat University of Medical & Health Sciences
(LUMHS), Jamshoro, Pakistan,
Email: arshadhamzapk.@yahoo.com

Her hemoglobin was 9.0 gm%. She underwent emergency laparotomy. On exploration, she had dual pathology i.e. huge ovarian cyst without any torsion while the full term pregnant uterus was found twisted at lower uterine segment (Fig. 1). The uterus was untwisted; a live baby boy was delivered by lower segment caesarean section. The baby was hypoxic at the time of birth, resuscitated by the pediatrician. The ovarian cyst was having benign features, 20x18cm in size, and 7.5 kg in weight (Fig. 2). The other tube and ovary were normal. Right salpingo-oophorectomy was done. Her postoperative period remained uneventful. She was discharged on the 8th postoperative day. Histopathology report of the removed tumor was serous cystadenoma.

## Discussion

Uterine torsion is the twist of the uterus at the junction between the cervix and the corpus more than 45 degrees around its long axis and mostly the degree of twist is 180°. Rarely does torsion of pregnant uterus occurs to such an extent that the uterine circulation is arrested leading to acute maternal symptoms and also threatenfetal survival. Thus it is usually associated with abruptio placentae. The risk factors for uterine torsion are abnormal fetal presentation i.e. transverse lie, uterine distention due to the leiomyoma, mullerian anomalies, pelvic adhesions and large neoplasms distorting the uterine shape or position. In this case the associated factor was large ovarian cyst. The incidence of the ovarian tumor in pregnant women is 1/1000¹ deliveries.

Torsion of the full term pregnant uterus is a rare obstet-



Fig 1: Torsion at lower segment



Fig 2: Ovarian cyst

ric event<sup>2</sup> and rarely diagnosed before surgery<sup>3</sup>. It can be asymptomatic or may present with acute onset of abdominal pain, distention, gastrointestinal disturbances, urinary dysfunction and vaginal bleeding. In this case the patient had torsion of full term pregnant uterus with huge ovarian cyst. Ovarian cysts are usually asymptomatic but once there are complications like complex masses, torsion, rupture or hemorrhage, surgical intervention is required<sup>1</sup>. She was suspected as a case of torsion of ovarian cyst with full term pregnancy but the diagnosis of uterine torsion was made at the time of operation as the uterus was rotated at its long axis with

marked edema and venous engorgement. This patient was not in labor but literature review from different case reports show that even with good uterine contractions there is no cervical dilatation<sup>4</sup>, or women have acute abdominal pain and shock due to placental abruption<sup>5</sup>. According to the case report by Cook<sup>6</sup>, acute uterine torsion was associated with placental abruption, maternal shock and fetal demise as in that case labor was induced and uterine torsion was diagnosed late. So on laparotomy the uterus and ovaries were found necrotic.

In our case the women was 36 weeks pregnant and had huge ovarian cyst. On laparotomy, there was huge right sided ovarian cyst occupying the whole abdomen; uterus was displaced to the left side with a twist of 180 degree at its pedicle. The baby was alive and uterus was having no evidence of necrosis. In this case the patient had early surgery so the lives of baby and mother were saved. Guie et al<sup>7</sup> also reported that prompt surgical treatment is fundamental for the reduction of fetal mortality and possible maternal mortality with this condition.

## References

- Nowak M, Szpakowski M, Wilczynski JR. Ovarian tumors in pregnancy – Proposals of diagnosis and treatment. Ginekol Pol 2004;753:242-9.
- Barber HRK, Graber EA. Surgical disease in pregnancy. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Co,1974:387-8.
- Jensen JG. Uterine torsion in pregnancy. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 1992;71:260-5.
- Visser AA, Giesteira MV, Heyns A et al. Torsion of the gravid uterus: case reports. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1983;90:87-9.
- 5. Kovavisarach ES, Vanitchanon P. Uterine torsion with shock. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol 1999;39:364-5.
- Cook KE, Jenkins SM. Pathologic uterine torsion associated with placental abruption, maternal shock and intra uterine fetal demise. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2005;192:2082-3.
- Guie P, Adjobi R, N'guessan E et al. Uterine torsion with maternal death: our experience and literature review. Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol 2005;32:245-6.